

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
In re:

Chapter 11

Medford Development Corp.
Motor Parkway Enterprises Inc.
Airport Development Corp.
Wheeler Development LLC
Smithtown Development Corp.
Brentwood Development Corp.
Holbrook Development Corp.
Carman Development Corp.
Maple Avenue Hauppauge Dev. Corp.
Port Jefferson Development Corp.
Ronkonkoma Development Corp.
Islandia Development Corp.
Oceanside Enterprises Inc.
Islip Development Corp.
Westbury Enterprises Inc.

Case No. 8-14-75666 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75667 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75683 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75668 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75669 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75670 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75671 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75672 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75674 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75675 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75676 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75677 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75678 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75679 (AST)
Case No. 8-14-75680 (AST)

Debtors.

(Jointly Administered)

----- X
Medford Development Corp., Motor Parkway Enterprises Inc., Airport Development Corp., Wheeler Development LLC, Smithtown Development Corp., Brentwood Development Corp., Holbrook Development Corp., Carman Development Corp., Maple Avenue Hauppauge Dev. Corp., Port Jefferson Development Corp., Ronkonkoma Development Corp., Islandia Development Corp., Oceanside Enterprises Inc., Islip Development Corp., and Westbury Enterprises Inc.,

Adv. Pro. No. 15-_____ (AST)

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Cumberland Farms, Inc., and Gulf Oil Limited Partnership,

Defendants.

----- X

COMPLAINT

Medford Development Corp., and its affiliated entities (collectively, the “Debtors” or “Plaintiffs”),¹ the above-referenced debtors and debtors-in-possession, as plaintiff in this adversary proceeding, by and through their proposed counsel, Macco & Stern, LLP, complaining of defendants Cumberland Farms, Inc. (“Cumberland”) and Gulf Oil Limited Partnership (“Gulf Oil”, and collectively with Cumberland, “Gulf”), respectfully represents as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§157(b)(2)(A), (B), and (O). Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for relief are 28 U.S.C. §§2201, *et seq.*, sections 105(a) and 362(a) of chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the “Federal Rules”), made applicable hereto by Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Bankruptcy Rule 7001(7) and (9).

THE PARTIES

2. Medford Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
3. Motor Parkway Enterprises Inc. is a New York corporation.
4. Airport Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
5. Wheeler Development LLC is a New York limited liability company.
6. Smithtown Development Corp. is a New York corporation.

¹ The Debtors, with associated case numbers, are as follows: (1) Medford Development Corp.; (2) Motor Parkway Enterprises, Inc.; (3) Airport Development Corp.; (4) Wheeler Development LLC; (5) Smithtown Development Corp.; (6) Brentwood Development Corp.; (7) Holbrook Development Corp.; (8) Carman Development Corp.; (9) Maple Avenue Hauppauge Dev. Corp.; (10) Port Jefferson Development Corp.; (11) Ronkonkoma Development Corp.; (12) Islandia Development Corp.; (13) Oceanside Enterprises Corp.; (14) Islip Development Corp.; and (15) Westbury Enterprises Inc.

7. Brentwood Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
8. Holbrook Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
9. Carman Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
10. Maple Avenue Hauppauge Dev. Corp. is a New York corporation.
11. Port Jefferson Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
12. Ronkonkoma Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
13. Islandia Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
14. Oceanside Enterprises Inc. is a New York corporation.
15. Islip Development Corp. is a New York corporation.
16. Westbury Enterprises Inc. is a New York corporation, upon information and belief.
17. Upon information and belief, Cumberland is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 100 Crossing Boulevard, Framingham, Massachusetts, authorized to do business in the State of New York.
18. Upon information and belief, Gulf Oil is a Delaware limited partnership with its principal place of business located at 100 Crossing Boulevard, Framingham, Massachusetts, authorized to do business in the State of New York.

BACKGROUND

Procedural Background

19. On December 24, 2014 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed separate voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
20. On December 24, 2014, the Debtors filed a motion to employ Macco & Stern as attorneys for the Debtors.

21. On December 31, 2014, the Debtors filed a motion for joint administration of their bankruptcy cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

22. On or about January 9, 2015, the Court entered an order jointly administering the Debtors' cases.

23. On or about January 13, 2015, the Debtors filed a motion for an order: (i) authorizing and approving the sale of substantially all of certain Debtors assets, including of sale procedures and assignment procedures, subject to higher and better offers; (ii) authorizing the Debtors to assume and assign certain executory contracts and unexpired leases; and (iii) extending the Debtors' time to assume or reject executory contracts and unexpired leases (the "Sale Motion").²

24. On or about January 30, 2015, the Court entered an order approving modified sales procedures in connection with the Sale Motion (the "Sale Procedures Order").

25. The Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses as debtors-in-possession under Bankruptcy Code §§1107 and 1108. To date, the Office of the United States Trustee (the "UST") has not appointed a chapter 11 trustee or official committee of unsecured creditors.

Gas Station Operation

26. Prior to the Petition Date, each of the Debtors operated a gas station.

27. In connection with the Debtors' operation of gas stations, Debtors each entered into two agreements: (1) a Dealer Contract of Sale, with related agreements, which is a franchise agreement (the "Franchise"), and (2) a Retail Motor Fuel Outlet Lease, which is a lease of the

² The Sale Motion relates to the following Debtors only: (1) Medford Development Corp.; (2) Oceanside Development Corp.; (3) Islip Development Corp.; (4) Islandia Development Corp.; (5) Smithtown Development Corp.; (6) Westbury Enterprises, Inc.; (7) Ronkonkoma Development Corp.; (8) Brentwood Development Corp.; and (9) Airport Development Corp.; and (10) Wheeler Development LLC.

premises at which the Debtors operate the gas stations (the “Lease,” and collectively with the Franchise, an “Agreement”).

28. Ten (10) of the Debtors entered into these Agreements with Gulf. The ten (10) entities (collectively, the “Gulf Debtors”) are as follows: (1) Medford Development Corp.; (2) Oceanside Development Corp.; (3) Islip Development Corp.; (4) Islandia Development Corp.; (5) Smithtown Development Corp.; (6) Westbury Enterprises, Inc.; (7) Ronkonkoma Development Corp.; (8) Brentwood Development Corp.; and (9) Airport Development Corp.; and (10) Wheeler Development LLC.

29. Due to an offset of the Debtors’ bank account by its secured creditor, New York Commercial Bank (“NYCB”), the Debtors were unable to pay for gas supplies in the weeks leading up to the Petition Date.

30. Due to that offset, the Gulf Debtors defaulted under the terms of the Agreement with Gulf (each, a “Default”).

31. Despite the Defaults, none of the Agreements with Gulf terminated prior to the Petition Date.

32. Upon information and belief, after the Petition Date, Gulf served the Gulf Debtors and various non-debtor entities with Notices of Franchise Termination (the “Termination Notices”).

33. As part of the Sale Motion, the Gulf Debtors seek to cure all Defaults, assume the Agreements, and assign the Agreements to each successful purchaser of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets.

34. Annexed to the Sale Motion as Exhibit B, the Gulf Debtors provided proposed cure amounts for each of the Defaults based on information from Gulf’s website, including

accounting for security deposits each of the Gulf Debtors had provided to Gulf upon execution of the Agreements (each, a “Security Deposit”). A copy of the spreadsheet showing all of the Security Deposits held by Gulf is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**.

35. Additionally, Gulf owes the Debtors amounts for credit card receipts processed by Gulf for gas sold between NYCB’s offset and the Petition Date (the “Credit Card Receipts”). A copy of the spreadsheet showing all of the Credit Card Receipts held by Gulf is annexed hereto as **Exhibit B**.

36. On or about January 10, 2015, Gulf and the Debtors entered into a written agreement whereby the Debtors granted access to the Gulf Debtors’ locations in an attempt to secure Gulf’s property (the “Access Agreement”).

37. Pursuant to the Access Agreement, Gulf safe guarded various Gulf Debtor locations over the next few weeks. During Gulf’s safe guarding of the Gulf Debtor locations, each of the Gulf Debtors had gas in its holding tank (the “Undocumented Gas”).

38. On January 26, 2015, during the Court’s hearing on the Sale Motion, the Court directed Gulf account for the Undocumented Gas by reducing any cure amount related to the Sales Motion or subsequently filed proof of claim.

District Court Action

39. On or about February 6, 2015, Gulf commenced a civil action against Cedarhurst Development Corp. (“Cedarhurst”), Steve Keshtgar (“Steve”), and Shoreh Keshtgar (“Shoreh”, and together with Cedarhurst and Steve, the “Protected Parties”) and other unknown entities and persons in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, entitled *Cumberland Farms, Inc., and Gulf Oil Limited Partnership v. Steven Keshtgar, Shoreh Keshtgar,*

Cedarhurst Development Corp., John Doe Corporations 1 Through 10, and John and Jane Does 1 Through 1, assigned index number 15-CV-00580 (LDW) (AKT) (the “District Court Action”).

40. The District Court Action does not refer to the Security Deposits, the Credit Card Receipts or the Undocumented Gas.

41. Instead, Gulf demands over \$2.5 Million in damages when they are fully aware that the amount is significantly less.

42. On February 9, 2015, Gulf filed: (1) a motion for entry of an order withdrawing the referenced to the Bankruptcy Court (the “Withdrawal Motion”); (2) a motion for an expedited hearing on the Withdrawal Motion (the “Hearing Motion”); and (3) a motion for entry of an order staying proceedings pending determination of the Withdrawal Motion (the “Stay Motion”, and collectively, with the Withdrawal Motion and the Hearing Motion, the “Motions”).

43. The sole purpose of the Motions is to delay and ultimately block the pending sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets.

44. Notwithstanding that the Debtors intend to cure all Defaults and pay Gulf in full, Gulf continues to claim it is suffering irreparable harm due to the delays in payment of cure amounts while simultaneously delaying such payment by delaying the sales of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets.

45. Despite the District Court Action being filed against the Protected Parties and not the Debtors, Gulf is seeking a final determination of the amounts due to all counter-parties to the Agreement, including the Gulf Debtors, the Chapter 7 Debtors, and the Protected Parties.

46. Upon information and belief, Gulf intends to use this judgment as proof of its claim against the estate of the Debtors.

47. The appropriate forum to litigate the damages due to Gulf is the Bankruptcy Court, not the District Court.

48. The District Court Action, like the Motions, was commenced to delay and ultimately block the sale process, and attempt to litigate the damages that Gulf is owed in the Debtors' bankruptcy cases outside the reach of the Bankruptcy Court.

49. The likelihood of a successful sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets is greatly reduced while: (a) the Debtors' principal is forced to defend himself on issues present in the Debtors' bankruptcy cases in a separate action; and (b) Gulf seeks a determination as to damages due for the Defaults.

50. Moreover, although not a defendant in the District Court Action, any resulting judgment will have an effect on the Debtors' bankruptcy cases because Gulf is attempting to litigate damages outside the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court.

AS AND FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

51. Debtors repeat and re-allege the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "50" as more fully set forth above.

52. Debtors seek a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201, *et seq.*, Bankruptcy Code §157, and Bankruptcy Rule 7001(9) with respect to the legal relations of the parties to the District Court Action, including the Debtor and Protected Parties, that the automatic stay of Bankruptcy Code §362 extends to stay the continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the non-Debtor Protected Parties.

53. There is an actual, justiciable controversy between the Debtor, the Protected Parties and Gulf as to whether the automatic stay of Bankruptcy Code §362 applied to the

continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties while the identical claims against the Debtors are determined in the Bankruptcy Court.

54. Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(1) operates as a stay against “the commencement or continuation...of a judicial...or other proceeding against the debtor” that was commenced before the Petition Date.

55. Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(3) operates as a stay of “any act to obtain possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate or to exercise control over property of the estate.”

56. Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(6) operates as a stay of “any act to collect, assess, or recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title.”

57. Bankruptcy Code §541(a)(1) provides that the “estate is comprised of . . . all legal or equitable interests of the debtor in property as of the commencement of the case.”

58. Because there is an identity between the Debtors and the Protected Parties, the claims in the District Court Action against the Protected Parties are effectively claims against the Debtors and the Debtors’ estate in violation of Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(1) prohibiting the commencement of a judicial proceeding against the Debtor, Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(3) prohibiting “any act to obtain possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate or to exercise control over the property of the estate”, and Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(6) prohibiting “any act to collect, assess, or recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title.”

59. The immediate and irreparable adverse consequences of a judgment against the Protected Parties would effectively assess a claim against the Debtors’ estate in the amount of

the judgment outside of the Bankruptcy Court in direct violation of Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(6), to the detriment of the Debtors' estates and creditors, thereby obtaining a benefit not otherwise available to the Debtors' other creditors due to the automatic stay.

60. In such event, unless the automatic stay of Bankruptcy Code §362 is extended by declaratory judgment, sought herein, to stay the claims in the District Court against the Protected Parties, Gulf will impermissibly achieve what they are indisputably prohibited from achieving against the Debtor pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §362(a).

61. The Debtors submit that, under these circumstances involving immediate and irreparable adverse consequences to the Debtors' estates and creditors, the Court should enter an order declaring that the automatic stay of Bankruptcy Code §362(a) extends to stay the claims in the District Court Action against the Protected Parties.

62. Based on the foregoing, the Debtors seek a declaratory judgment extending the automatic stay under Bankruptcy Code §§362(a)(1),(3) and (6) to stay the continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties.

AS AND FOR THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

63. Debtors repeat and re-allege the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "62" as more fully set forth above.

64. The Debtors seek preliminary and permanent injunctions staying the continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties under Bankruptcy Code §105(a) until the effective date of a plan of sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets or further order of this Court.

65. Bankruptcy Code §105(a) provides that "[t]he court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title."

66. An injunction of claims against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action is necessary to preserve and maximize the Debtors' estate and enable the Debtors to have a public auction and close the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets that provides for the distribution of certain assets of the estate for the benefit of its creditors, including curing all Defaults owed to Gulf in full.

67. Pursuant to the broad powers conferred by Bankruptcy Code §105(a), and applicable case law, bankruptcy courts have granted injunctions to enjoin claims against non-debtors where claims against non-debtors may affect property of the estate and findings, conclusions or judgments in non-bankruptcy litigation would prejudice the estate. Bankruptcy Code §105(a) has been construed liberally to enjoin actions that might impede the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets. Accordingly, an injunction should be granted under Bankruptcy Code §105(a) enjoining the continuation of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties.

68. The continued litigation of any claims against the Protected Parties will have a direct negative impact on the Debtors' estate. Although claims against the Debtors regarding damages to Gulf have been made in Gulf's written opposition to the Sale Motion, claims which are identical to those made against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action, without an injunction of the District Court Action, Gulf is able to pursue these claims against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action. This would effectively make the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets by the Debtors impossible and in all likelihood compel the Protected Parties, one of whom manages all of the business affairs of the Debtors, including the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets efforts, to seek individual bankruptcy protection.

69. The continued prosecution of the claims in the District Court Action against the Protected Parties, which are identical to the claims against the Debtors to be decided in the Bankruptcy Court, is tantamount to unpermitted litigation against the Debtors because it would impose the same burdens on the Debtors as a direct action against the Debtors, thereby nullifying the protection of the automatic stay of the District Court Action as to the Debtors pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §362(a).

70. Moreover, in view of the fact that the claims in the District Court Action as to the monetary liability of the Debtors and the Protected Parties are identical, the Debtors would face the risk of negative consequences from the continued prosecution of the District Court Action as to the Protected Parties under the doctrines of collateral estoppel, *res judicata* and/or other legal theories involving evidentiary prejudice, thereby nullifying the benefits of the automatic stay to which the Debtors are entitled.

71. The continued prosecution of the District Court Action and the liability which the Protected Parties face in those actions will distract and impede the Protected Parties from their indispensable, managerial efforts which are necessary for the continued operation of the Debtors' businesses as a debtor-in-possession and for successful sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets under the Bankruptcy Code.

72. Bankruptcy Code §362(a) also operates as a stay of the commencement or continuation of any action that was or could have been filed against the debtor before the filing of the debtor's petition, or to recover a pre-petition claim against the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1). Although the express language of Bankruptcy Code §362(a)(1) refers only to actions against the debtor, courts have routinely relied on Bankruptcy Code §105(a) to extend the automatic stay to enjoin actions against non-debtors where the continued prosecution of such

actions would undermine the Debtors ability to accomplish the purposes of these chapter 11 cases.

73. Here, the Protected Parties, one of whom is the Debtors' principal, play a critical role in the conduct of the chapter 11 case. As the management of the Debtor, the Protected Parties are currently focusing their efforts on dealing with a variety of issues that are vital to the Debtor's efforts to continue their ongoing business operations and to develop and propose a viable sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets for the ultimate benefit of the Debtors' estates and creditors. The additional burdens demanded by participation of the Protected Parties in the District Court Action and especially with respect to a judgment on the damages that the Protected Parties and the Debtors owe Gulf would cause irreparable harm to the Debtors and compromise the Protected Parties' ability to manage the Debtors, hold a public auction and close the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets by diverting their time and attention from critical tasks. Accordingly, the extension of the automatic stay to enjoin the District Court Action against the Protected Parties is appropriate and consistent with the overall goals of the Bankruptcy Code.

74. The Debtors are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions under Bankruptcy Code §105(a), enjoining the prosecution of claims against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action.

AS AND FOR THE THIRD COUNT FOR RELIEF

75. Debtors repeat and re-allege the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "74" as more fully set forth above.

76. The Debtors seek preliminary and permanent injunctions under Federal Rule 65, made applicable hereto by Bankruptcy Rule 7065, preventing Gulf from pursuing the District

Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets, resolution of this adversary proceeding or until such time as this Court may otherwise determine.

77. An injunction may be granted under Federal Rule 65 if the following factors are present: (1) irreparable harm to the movant in the absence of an injunction; (2) either (a) likelihood of success on the merits, or (b) a sufficiently serious question going to the merits to make it a fair ground for litigation and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly toward the movant; and (3) granting the injunction will serve the public interest.

78. As is manifestly clear from the facts described above, the Debtors will be irreparably harmed without preliminary and permanent injunctions to enjoin the prosecution of claims against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action. Continued litigation of the claims against the Protected Parties in the District Court Action would cause irreparable harm to the Debtors' estates due to the determination of the amount of Gulf's claim, which, in turn, would account for a large percentage of purchase price of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets. The amount of the demand in the District Court Action is too great, particularly when considering Gulf did not account for the Security Deposits, the Credit Card Receipts and the Undocumented Gas. If the Gulf Debtors are not allowed to satisfy the Defaults from the proceeds of the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors', the Debtors chances of providing a benefit to the Debtors' estates and creditors is eliminated. This affects the Debtors' creditors because NYCB has promised a significant carve-out to the Debtors' estates to satisfy the claims held by creditors of the estate, which would be greatly reduced if Gulf litigates the District Court Action and effectively deprive the Debtor of the ability to provide a benefit to the Debtors' estates and creditors.

79. The Debtors are able to satisfy the “likelihood of success” factor, which should be evaluated based on the debtor’s “likelihood of a sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets.” The Debtor commenced this chapter 11 case to sell substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets. The Sale Motion included stalking horse contracts. The Debtors have retained a broker to market the assets and hold the public auction. The Debtors continue to receive solicitations for information from interested parties hoping to place an overbid. The public auction, hearing approving the sale, and closing date have all be scheduled.

80. The Debtors intend to use the funds generated from the sale of the substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets to cure all of the Defaults and pay down the amounts owed to NYCB, who has promised to make a significant donation to the Debtors’ estate which would benefit the Debtors other creditors. A benefit to the Debtors’ unsecured creditors is equivalent to serving the public interest. An injunction would permit the Protected Parties as the management of the Debtor to focus on such sale without the major distraction and expense of their involvement in the District Court Action, which would hurt the Debtors’ unsecured creditors.

81. Any harm suffered by Gulf is vastly outweighed by the harm suffered by the Debtors, the Debtors’ estates and creditors in the absence of an injunction. The District Court Action presents claims against the Protected Parties which are identical to Gulf’s claims against the Debtors in the Debtors’ bankruptcy cases and can be addressed in the course of the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases. Granting the preliminary and permanent injunctions will enable the Debtor to continue pursuing the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors’ assets under the Bankruptcy Code. The “public interest” is satisfied if granting the injunction would allow the Debtors to do so.

82. If Gulf continues to prosecute the District Court Actions against the Protected Parties forcing, among other things, the insolvency of the Protected Parties, it will only decrease the value of Gulf's claims against the Debtor for the reasons set forth above. Injunctive relief as to continued prosecution by Gulf of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties will not diminish the alleged liability of the Protected Parties. In fact, it would benefit Gulf because the Debtors intend to pay Gulf in full to cure the Defaults incident to the assumption and assignment of the Agreement. Thus, any harm suffered by Gulf from injunctive relief is vastly outweighed by the benefit Gulf would receive if the sale of the Gulf Debtors' assets is closed and the irreparable harm to the Debtors if the injunctive relief is not ordered by the Court.

83. The Debtors are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions under Federal Rule 65, made applicable hereto by Bankruptcy Rule 7065, preventing Gulf from pursuing the District Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets, resolution of this adversary proceeding or until such time as this Court may otherwise determine.

84. The Court should provide for the injunctive relief requested herein by the Debtors without requiring the provision by the Debtor of any security pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7065(c).

AS AND FOR THE FOURTH COUNT FOR RELIEF

85. Debtors repeat and re-allege the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "84" as more fully set forth above.

86. Debtors respectfully request a temporary restraining order enjoining Gulf from pursuing the District Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the Court's resolution of this adversary proceeding.

87. In order to preserve the status quo while the Debtors file a motion for a declaratory judgment, and preliminary and permanent injunctions, and in order to prevent irreparable harm to the Debtors as described herein, the Debtors request that the Court issue a temporary restraining order enjoining and restraining Gulf, their attorneys, and any other person or party, from the further prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties, or of any other litigation affecting property in which the Debtors have a legal, equitable, beneficial, contractual, or other interest, for such time as will enable the Court to issue a ruling.

88. Absent a temporary restraining order, continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties will distract and impede the Protected Parties from their managerial efforts, including assisting in the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets. As a consequence, the automatic stay pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §362(a) will be circumvented and nullified by allowing Gulf to pursue the District Court Action and the Debtors will suffer irreparable harm as its principals will not be able to focus on the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets, Gulf's claim will be determined, and the purpose of the Bankruptcy Code, fair treatment and payment of all the Debtors' creditors, will be frustrated.

89. The Debtors are entitled to a temporary restraining order enjoining Gulf from pursuing the District Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the Court's resolution of this adversary proceeding.

90. The Court should provide for the temporary restraining order relief requested herein by the Debtor without requiring the provision by the Debtor of any security pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7065(c).

91. No previous request for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE, Debtors respectfully demand judgment as follows:

- (A) As and for the first cause of action, the Debtors respectfully request a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201, *et seq.*, Bankruptcy Code §157, and Bankruptcy Rule 7001(9) with respect to the legal relations of the parties to the District Court Action, including the Debtor and Protected Parties, that the automatic stay of Bankruptcy Code §362 extends to stay the continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the non-Debtor Protected Parties.
- (B) As and for the second cause of action, the Debtors respectfully request preliminary and permanent injunctions staying the continued prosecution of the District Court Action against the Protected Parties under Bankruptcy Code §105(a) until the effective date of a plan of sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets or further order of this Court.
- (C) As and for the third cause of action, the Debtors respectfully request preliminary and permanent injunctions under Federal Rule 65, made applicable hereto by Bankruptcy Rule 7065, preventing Gulf from pursuing the District Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the sale of substantially all of the Gulf Debtors' assets, resolution of this adversary proceeding or until such time as this Court may otherwise determine.
- (D) As and for the third cause of action, the Debtors respectfully request a temporary restraining order enjoining Gulf from pursuing the District Court Action against the Protected Parties pending the Court's resolution of this adversary proceeding.
- (E) An order granting the Debtors such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February __, 2015
Melville, New York

MACCO & STERN, LLP
Attorneys for the Debtors

By: _____

Michael J. Macco, Esq.
Peter Corey, Esq.
Cooper J Macco, Esq.
135 Pinelawn Road, Suite 120 South
Melville, New York 11747
(631) 549-7900

EXHIBIT A











Wednesday Thursday -
Prenote

Cust #	Customer Name	Total EFT 12-24-2014	Total EFT 12-26-2014	Due After 12-18-2014	Total Open AR	Security	AR - Security	Plus Net Note Rec 11-30-14	Total Due
2200941	BOHEMIA DEVELOPMENT CORP (BOHEMIA) - CGD	\$52,884.74		\$27,145.28	\$80,030.02	\$54,576	\$25,454	\$0	\$25,454
2200942	WHEELER DEVELOPMENT (HAUPPAUGE) - CGD	\$54,442.75		\$33,585.85	\$88,028.60	\$61,626	\$26,402	\$98,125	\$124,527
2200972	AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT CORP (JAMAICA) - CGD	\$72,381.35		\$18,209.91	\$90,591.26	\$41,961	\$48,630	\$100,861	\$149,491
2201031	ISLANDIA DEVELOPMENT CORP (HAUPPAUGE) - CGD	\$47,299.71		\$32,521.76	\$79,821.47	\$52,439	\$27,383	\$74,405	\$101,788
2201058	OCEANSIDE ENTERPRISES INC (OCEANSIDE) - CGD	\$51,031.20		\$31,704.60	\$82,735.80	\$62,960	\$19,776	\$43,960	\$63,736
2201107	MEDFORD DEVELOPMENT CORP (MEDFORD) - CGD	\$72,589.10		\$66,496.52	\$139,085.62	\$54,905	\$84,181	\$167,710	\$251,891
2201114	RONKONKOMA DEVELOPMENT CO (RONKONKOMA) - CGD	\$13,049.17		\$29,622.77	\$42,671.94	\$56,163	(\$13,491)	\$70,086	\$56,595
2201235	BRENTWOOD DEVELOPMENT CO (BRENTWOOD) - CMD	\$38,435.31		\$39,721.57	\$78,156.88	\$8,616	\$69,541	\$107,817	\$177,357
2201237	CENTEREACH DEVELOPMENT CO (CENTEREACH) - CMD	\$31,543.18		\$34,618.21	\$66,161.39	\$4,183	\$61,978	\$48,695	\$110,673
2201239	CORAM ASSOCIATES CORP (CORAM) - CMD	\$22,320.06		\$38,045.08	\$60,365.14	\$8,965	\$51,400	\$82,013	\$133,413
2201244	NORTHPORT ENTERPRISES INC (EAST NORTHPORT) - CMD	\$76,623.15		\$33,570.99	\$110,194.14	\$8,080	\$102,115	\$292	\$102,407
2201245	A & B MART & SERVICE INC (EAST PATCHOGUE) - CMD	\$20,696.36		\$36,624.05	\$57,320.41	\$39,089	\$18,232	\$79,980	\$98,212
2201255	HAUPPAUGE DEVELOPMENT CO (HAUPPAUGE) - CMD	\$18,998.24		\$36,174.87	\$55,173.11	\$4,257	\$50,916	\$82,221	\$133,137
2201265	ISLIP DEVELOPMENT CORP (ISLIP TERRACE) - CMD	\$30,982.60		\$37,649.92	\$68,632.52	\$4,711	\$63,922	\$39,054	\$102,976
2201291	SMITHTOWN DEVELOPMENT CO (SMITHTOWN) - CMD	\$34,793.83		\$34,841.15	\$69,634.98	\$52,724	\$16,911	\$119,124	\$136,036
2201299	WESTBURY ENTERPRISES INC (WESTBURY) - CMD	\$13,324.35		\$38,644.58	\$51,968.93	\$47,713	\$4,256	\$127,596	\$131,852
2201384	VALLEY STREAM ENT INC (VALLEY STREAM) - CMD	\$14,014.20		\$34,499.11	\$48,513.31	\$28,450	\$20,063	\$74,641	\$94,704
9001013	CEDARHURST DEVELOPMENT CORP - CMD	\$50,350.09		\$22,619.09	\$72,969.18	\$43,997	\$28,972	\$0	\$28,972
TOTALS		\$715,759.39	\$0.00	\$626,295.31	\$1,342,054.70	\$635,414	\$706,641	\$1,316,582	2,023,222.92

EXHIBIT B






H-m

Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
01/11/2015	9749730		800051 XM011115000003		(490.00)	0.00	0.00	(490.00)
12/25/2014	9749730		800051 XM122514000003		155.86	0.00	(3.46)	152.40
12/24/2014	9749730		800051 XM122414000003		322.74	0.00	(8.03)	314.71
12/23/2014	9749730		800051 XM122314000003		333.77	0.00	(8.80)	324.97
12/22/2014	9749730		800051 XM122214000003		199.08	0.00	(5.27)	193.81
12/21/2014	9749730		800051 XM122114000003		365.67	0.00	(8.36)	357.31
12/20/2014	9749730		800051 XM122014000003		3,651.25	0.00	(68.56)	3,582.69
12/19/2014	9749730		800051 XM121914000003		3,787.97	0.00	(74.56)	3,713.41
12/18/2014	9749730		800051 XM121814000003		3,672.56	0.00	(57.25)	3,615.31
12/17/2014	9749730		800051 XM121714000003		3,797.42	0.00	(62.84)	3,734.58
Total					15,796.32	0.00	(297.13)	15,499.19













Credit Cards











Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	9749680		800034 XM122514000003		10.07	0.00	(0.23)	9.84
12/24/2014	9749680		800034 XM122414000003		48.10	0.00	(0.81)	47.29
12/23/2014	9749680		800034 XM122314000003		60.51	0.00	(1.60)	58.91
12/22/2014	9749680		800034 XM122214000003		116.03	0.00	(2.93)	113.10
12/21/2014	9749680		800034 XM122114000003		50.65	0.00	(1.21)	49.44
12/20/2014	9749680		800034 XM122014000003		207.76	0.00	(4.47)	203.29
12/19/2014	9749680		800034 XM121914000003		1,875.24	0.00	(30.40)	1,844.84
12/18/2014	9749680		800034 XM121814000003		5,128.41	0.00	(87.11)	5,041.30
12/17/2014	9749680		800034 XM121714000003		4,596.89	0.00	(67.51)	4,529.38
Total					12,093.66	0.00	(196.27)	11,897.39

V/S

Credit Cards





Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
01/13/2015	9749185	 800015	XM011315000003		(60.00)	0.00	0.00	(60.00)
12/25/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122514000003		362.45	0.00	(3.88)	358.57
12/24/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122414000003		466.95	0.00	(12.31)	454.64
12/23/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122314000003		243.12	0.00	(4.55)	238.57
12/22/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122214000003		501.98	0.00	(6.23)	495.75
12/21/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122114000003		1,953.50	0.00	(31.58)	1,921.92
12/20/2014	9749185	 800015	XM122014000003		3,421.87	0.00	(42.22)	3,379.65
12/19/2014	9749185	 800015	XM121914000003		3,951.41	0.00	(67.59)	3,883.82
12/18/2014	9749185	 800015	XM121814000003		3,365.90	0.00	(51.17)	3,314.73
12/17/2014	9749185	 800015	XM121714000003		3,542.34	0.00	(51.00)	3,491.34
Total					17,749.52	0.00	(270.53)	17,478.99

Smithtown**Credit Cards**

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/26/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122614000003		65.47	0.00	(1.26)	64.21
12/25/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122514000003		144.35	0.00	(4.10)	140.25
12/24/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122414000003		398.50	0.00	(10.80)	387.70
12/23/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122314000003		389.44	0.00	(7.63)	381.81
12/22/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122214000003		253.19	0.00	(5.60)	247.59
12/21/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122114000003		491.66	0.00	(11.56)	480.10
12/20/2014	9749342	 800032	XM122014000003		4,886.84	0.00	(95.85)	4,790.99
12/19/2014	9749342	 800032	XM121914000003		3,861.78	0.00	(68.81)	3,792.97
12/18/2014	9749342	 800032	XM121814000003		5,174.82	0.00	(80.75)	5,094.07
12/17/2014	9749342	 800032	XM121714000003		5,289.64	0.00	(83.61)	5,206.03
Total					20,955.69	0.00	(369.97)	20,585.72

CVM

Credit Cards




Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/27/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122714000003		129.46	0.00	(3.33)	126.13
12/25/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122514000003		87.73	0.00	(2.02)	85.71
12/24/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122414000003		127.93	0.00	(3.64)	124.29
12/23/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122314000003		162.89	0.00	(3.86)	159.03
12/22/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122214000003		139.62	0.00	(3.89)	135.73
12/21/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122114000003		296.41	0.00	(5.28)	291.13
12/20/2014	9749169	 800021	XM122014000003		2,366.24	0.00	(31.74)	2,334.50
12/19/2014	9749169	 800021	XM121914000003		1,796.89	0.00	(25.05)	1,771.84
12/18/2014	9749169	 800021	XM121814000003		1,798.75	0.00	(24.45)	1,774.30
12/17/2014	9749169	 800021	XM121714000003		1,620.72	0.00	(16.93)	1,603.79
Total					8,526.64	0.00	(120.19)	8,406.45

Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
01/28/2015	9749417		800029 XM012815000003		(108.73)	0.00	0.00	(108.73)
01/21/2015	9749417		800029 XM012115000003		(29.06)	0.00	0.00	(29.06)
12/27/2014	9749417		800029 XM122714000003		164.81	0.00	(4.54)	160.27
12/26/2014	9749417		800029 XM122614000003		123.04	0.00	(3.62)	119.42
12/25/2014	9749417		800029 XM122514000003		258.15	0.00	(6.82)	251.33
12/24/2014	9749417		800029 XM122414000003		1,122.37	0.00	(17.09)	1,105.28
12/23/2014	9749417		800029 XM122314000003		220.71	0.00	(5.37)	215.34
12/22/2014	9749417		800029 XM122214000003		218.93	0.00	(4.02)	214.91
12/21/2014	9749417		800029 XM122114000003		212.61	0.00	(5.03)	207.58
12/20/2014	9749417		800029 XM122014000003		1,585.17	0.00	(27.19)	1,557.98
12/19/2014	9749417		800029 XM121914000003		1,060.52	0.00	(16.69)	1,043.83
12/18/2014	9749417		800029 XM121814000003		2,598.07	0.00	(35.55)	2,562.52
12/17/2014	9749417		800029 XM121714000003		5,254.44	0.00	(68.31)	5,186.13
Total					12,681.03	0.00	(194.23)	12,486.80











CORAM

Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/28/2014	9749565		800023	XM122814000003	3.56	0.00	(0.20)	3.36
12/26/2014	9749565		800023	XM122614000003	127.63	0.00	(2.46)	125.17
12/25/2014	9749565		800023	XM122514000003	340.13	0.00	(9.09)	331.04
12/24/2014	9749565		800023	XM122414000003	504.74	0.00	(7.82)	496.92
12/23/2014	9749565		800023	XM122314000003	325.29	0.00	(7.94)	317.35
12/22/2014	9749565		800023	XM122214000003	318.75	0.00	(8.08)	310.67
12/21/2014	9749565		800023	XM122114000003	1,446.52	0.00	(27.90)	1,418.62
12/20/2014	9749565		800023	XM122014000003	2,381.24	0.00	(43.27)	2,337.97
12/19/2014	9749565		800023	XM121914000003	2,029.33	0.00	(35.10)	1,994.23
12/18/2014	9749565		800023	XM121814000003	2,188.69	0.00	(44.98)	2,143.71
12/17/2014	9749565		800023	XM121714000003	2,359.95	0.00	(35.64)	2,324.31
Total					12,025.83	0.00	(222.48)	11,803.35



Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/27/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122714000003		120.76	0.00	(3.72)	117.04
12/25/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122514000003		82.78	0.00	(1.67)	81.11
12/24/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122414000003		39.41	0.00	(0.99)	38.42
12/23/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122314000003		107.02	0.00	(3.15)	103.87
12/22/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122214000003		171.14	0.00	(0.98)	170.16
12/21/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122114000003		2,369.83	0.00	(35.40)	2,334.43
12/20/2014	9749573	 800025	XM122014000003		3,121.90	0.00	(35.54)	3,086.36
12/19/2014	9749573	 800025	XM121914000003		2,940.74	0.00	(41.72)	2,899.02
12/18/2014	9749573	 800025	XM121814000003		4,043.55	0.00	(50.55)	3,993.00
12/17/2014	9749573	 800025	XM121714000003		2,688.74	0.00	(33.40)	2,655.34
Total					15,685.87	0.00	(207.12)	15,478.75

WBM

Credit Cards










Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122514000003		440.21	0.00	(10.16)	430.05
12/24/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122414000003		707.33	0.00	(18.12)	689.21
12/23/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122314000003		372.52	0.00	(11.14)	361.38
12/22/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122214000003		636.19	0.00	(16.59)	619.60
12/21/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122114000003		605.98	0.00	(15.10)	590.88
12/20/2014	9749326	 800048	XM122014000003		1,439.64	0.00	(28.62)	1,411.02
12/19/2014	9749326	 800048	XM121914000003		1,483.90	0.00	(28.81)	1,455.09
12/18/2014	9749326	 800048	XM121814000003		3,676.30	0.00	(60.64)	3,615.66
12/17/2014	9749326	 800048	XM121714000003		3,779.73	0.00	(55.10)	3,724.63
Total					13,141.80	0.00	(244.28)	12,897.52

A+B

Credit Cards











Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/27/2014	9749847		800049 XM122714000003		0.44	0.00	(0.10)	0.34
12/26/2014	9749847		800049 XM122614000003		373.33	0.00	(2.15)	371.18
12/25/2014	9749847		800049 XM122514000003		374.41	0.00	(7.85)	366.56
12/24/2014	9749847		800049 XM122414000003		811.24	0.00	(9.81)	801.43
12/23/2014	9749847		800049 XM122314000003		600.40	0.00	(11.22)	589.18
12/22/2014	9749847		800049 XM122214000003		453.12	0.00	(8.70)	444.42
12/21/2014	9749847		800049 XM122114000003		514.16	0.00	(7.31)	506.85
12/20/2014	9749847		800049 XM122014000003		872.54	0.00	(12.82)	859.72
12/19/2014	9749847		800049 XM121914000003		1,572.46	0.00	(28.80)	1,543.66
12/18/2014	9749847		800049 XM121814000003		2,678.77	0.00	(40.89)	2,637.88
12/17/2014	9749847		800049 XM121714000003		2,522.16	0.00	(36.14)	2,486.02
Total					10,773.03	0.00	(165.79)	10,607.24

Brentwood**Credit Cards**












Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122514000003		237.08	0.00	(6.56)	230.52
12/24/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122414000003		513.00	0.00	(13.89)	499.11
12/23/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122314000003		531.42	0.00	(14.99)	516.43
12/22/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122214000003		157.31	0.00	(5.01)	152.30
12/21/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122114000003		306.88	0.00	(8.48)	298.40
12/20/2014	9749409	 800020	XM122014000003		899.18	0.00	(15.60)	883.58
12/19/2014	9749409	 800020	XM121914000003		5,460.65	0.00	(111.93)	5,348.72
12/18/2014	9749409	 800020	XM121814000003		4,961.03	0.00	(91.67)	4,869.36
12/17/2014	9749409	 800020	XM121714000003		4,535.35	0.00	(88.73)	4,446.62
Total					17,601.90	0.00	(356.86)	17,245.04

Ros Mon Koma












Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/26/2014	823629	 70304	CG122614000006	2	59.81	1.02	0.30	58.49
12/25/2014	823629	 70304	CG122514000006	32	564.50	8.71	5.25	550.54
12/24/2014	823629	 70304	CG122414000006	26	453.85	7.83	4.10	441.92
12/23/2014	823629	 70304	CG122314000006	29	311.04	4.12	5.00	301.92
12/22/2014	823629	 70304	CG122214000006	21	192.49	2.43	3.35	186.71
12/21/2014	823629	 70304	CG122114000005	59	1,533.01	26.36	9.35	1,497.30
12/20/2014	823629	 70304	CG122014000006	103	2,490.08	41.75	15.40	2,432.93
12/19/2014	823629	 70304	CG121914000007	128	3,317.90	56.27	18.75	3,242.88
12/18/2014	823629	 70304	CG121814000006	130	3,754.14	57.49	19.35	3,677.30
12/17/2014	823629	 70304	CG121714000005	129	3,427.47	48.40	19.50	3,359.57
Total				659	16,104.29	254.38	100.35	15,749.56










Wheeler**Credit Cards**

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/27/2014	823383	 70255	CG122714000006	1	0.04	0.00	0.15	(0.11)
12/26/2014	823383	 70255	CG122614000006	1	0.23	0.01	0.10	0.12
12/25/2014	823383	 70255	CG122514000006	48	618.97	10.51	8.15	600.31
12/24/2014	823383	 70255	CG122414000006	109	1,816.73	32.87	18.05	1,765.81
12/23/2014	823383	 70255	CG122314000006	86	1,394.43	23.09	14.95	1,356.39
12/22/2014	823383	 70255	CG122214000006	42	451.63	6.05	7.10	438.48
12/21/2014	823383	 70255	CG122114000005	95	1,389.26	24.06	16.45	1,348.75
12/20/2014	823383	 70255	CG122014000006	135	1,864.39	32.38	23.45	1,808.56
12/19/2014	823383	 70255	CG121914000007	236	6,187.51	133.26	34.65	6,019.60
12/18/2014	823383	 70255	CG121814000006	273	7,150.73	141.39	39.10	6,970.24
12/17/2014	823383	 70255	CG121714000005	250	7,694.38	155.95	35.75	7,502.68
Total				1276	28,568.30	559.57	197.90	27,810.83










Bohemia**Credit Cards**

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/27/2014	826539	 70209	CG122714000006	14	395.16	4.39	1.20	389.57
12/26/2014	826539	 70209	CG122614000006	16	459.52	8.19	2.40	448.93
12/25/2014	826539	 70209	CG122514000006	31	792.30	12.98	4.30	775.02
12/24/2014	826539	 70209	CG122414000006	89	2,524.51	38.56	12.55	2,473.40
12/23/2014	826539	 70209	CG122314000006	90	2,867.39	43.86	12.50	2,811.03
12/22/2014	826539	 70209	CG122214000006	55	1,571.28	20.51	8.05	1,542.72
12/21/2014	826539	 70209	CG122114000005	66	1,746.19	20.85	8.70	1,716.64
12/20/2014	826539	 70209	CG122014000006	105	3,130.59	52.48	15.65	3,062.46
12/19/2014	826539	 70209	CG121914000007	59	1,733.44	23.67	8.90	1,700.87
12/18/2014	826539	 70209	CG121814000006	84	2,133.72	32.60	10.75	2,090.37
12/17/2014	826539	 70209	CG121714000005	94	3,110.64	49.93	12.55	3,048.16
Total				703	20,464.74	308.02	97.55	20,059.17

O'Connor**Credit Cards**











Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	823613	 70293	CG122514000006	6	59.95	0.96	0.95	58.04
12/24/2014	823613	 70293	CG122414000006	11	152.02	2.49	1.70	147.83
12/23/2014	823613	 70293	CG122314000006	27	322.14	5.45	4.10	312.59
12/22/2014	823613	 70293	CG122214000006	21	292.84	4.76	3.10	284.98
12/21/2014	823613	 70293	CG122114000005	24	351.04	4.78	3.60	342.66
12/20/2014	823613	 70293	CG122014000006	26	368.88	6.20	4.10	358.58
12/19/2014	823613	 70293	CG121914000007	34	316.14	4.58	5.55	306.01
12/18/2014	823613	 70293	CG121814000006	97	2,531.44	46.84	13.70	2,470.90
12/17/2014	823613	 70293	CG121714000005	95	2,761.88	42.85	14.40	2,704.63
Total				341	7,156.33	118.91	51.20	6,986.22

AIRPORT**Credit Cards**










Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	827035	 70271	CG122514000006	15	282.99	3.76	2.30	276.93
12/24/2014	827035	 70271	CG122414000006	33	567.96	9.64	4.90	553.42
12/23/2014	827035	 70271	CG122314000006	22	361.65	6.31	3.20	352.14
12/22/2014	827035	 70271	CG122214000006	23	229.21	3.64	3.65	221.92
12/21/2014	827035	 70271	CG122114000005	62	1,337.09	22.06	10.20	1,304.83
12/20/2014	827035	 70271	CG122014000006	90	1,488.93	24.41	14.05	1,450.47
12/19/2014	827035	 70271	CG121914000007	117	2,916.67	48.97	18.40	2,849.30
12/18/2014	827035	 70271	CG121814000006	123	3,481.01	60.23	18.90	3,401.88
12/17/2014	827035	 70271	CG121714000005	139	4,006.58	64.35	21.60	3,920.63
Total				624	14,672.09	243.37	97.20	14,331.52

ISLANDIA

Credit Cards

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/26/2014	823384	 70256	CG122614000006	2	56.83	1.03	0.30	55.50
12/25/2014	823384	 70256	CG122514000006	22	376.30	5.57	3.70	367.03
12/24/2014	823384	 70256	CG122414000006	38	607.72	9.10	6.45	592.17
12/23/2014	823384	 70256	CG122314000006	26	315.62	3.46	4.70	307.66
12/22/2014	823384	 70256	CG122214000006	18	252.57	4.61	2.90	245.06
12/21/2014	823384	 70256	CG122114000005	26	336.10	4.36	4.45	327.29
12/20/2014	823384	 70256	CG122014000006	37	397.89	5.03	6.45	386.41
12/19/2014	823384	 70256	CG121914000007	91	1,784.77	30.36	13.80	1,740.61
12/18/2014	823384	 70256	CG121814000006	141	3,671.05	55.97	21.60	3,593.48
12/17/2014	823384	 70256	CG121714000005	119	3,540.58	48.58	17.90	3,474.10
Total				520	11,339.63	168.07	82.25	11,089.31

MEDICAL**Credit Cards**

Transaction Date	Merchant No	Facility	Ref No	Count	Gross	Basis	Fixed	Net
12/25/2014	823533		70279 CG122514000006	15	172.36	2.29	2.50	167.57
12/24/2014	823533		70279 CG122414000006	21	199.23	2.22	3.65	193.36
12/23/2014	823533		70279 CG122314000006	22	178.66	2.50	3.75	172.41
12/22/2014	823533		70279 CG122214000006	21	126.94	1.71	3.50	121.73
12/21/2014	823533		70279 CG122114000005	20	146.33	2.25	3.35	140.73
12/20/2014	823533		70279 CG122014000006	27	287.85	3.58	4.55	279.72
12/19/2014	823533		70279 CG121914000007	33	467.44	8.27	5.05	454.12
12/18/2014	823533		70279 CG121814000006	66	1,160.17	15.37	10.30	1,134.50
12/17/2014	823533		70279 CG121714000005	107	3,007.40	42.77	14.95	2,949.68
Total				332	5,746.38	80.96	51.60	5,613.82